| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | 10/731,945 | KIM, SUNG HOON |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Walter Benson | 2858 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u> | | |
| (1) Walter Benson. | (3) | |
| (2) Robert E. Kasody Reg. No. 50,268. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 11 April 200 | Time: <u>16:30</u> | |
| Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | • | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| | | • |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| + | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Walto Benson | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Sig | nature – if appropriate) |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner pointed out to Applicant's representive that the priority document has not been received to date. Note: Applicant's PTO-1082 filed 12/09/03 and Examiner's Office Action Summary mailed 1/27/05, both indicated that none of the prority documents had been received.